UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,559	01/23/2004	Katsunori Takada	K06-165935M/TBS	3219
	7590 12/19/200 ELLECTUAL PROPEI	EXAMINER		
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD SUITE 200 VIENNA, VA 22182-3817			MCGUTHRY BANKS, TIMA MICHELE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/762,559	TAKADA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	TIMA M. MCGUTHRY-BANKS	1793		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 S This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowatelessed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3,5,7,9,11 and 13-19 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3,5,7,9,11 and 13-19 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/8/08.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

Claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 and 11 are currently amended, Claims 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 20 and 21 are cancelled, and Claims 12-19 are as previously presented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1, 2, 5, 7 and 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanisawa et al (US 6,547,890 B2).

Kanisawa et al is applied as discussed in the office action mailed 6/12/2008. Regarding the negative limitation Cr and Al, Al reads on zero (0.2% or less in column 5, line 14) and Cr is optional (column 5, lines 35-37). Claims 1 and 5 are still product claims; the limitation of hot rolling and high frequency hardening are product by process limitations. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the steel wire rod taught by Kanisawa et al reads on the claimed steel for use in a high strength pinion shaft, since Kanisawa et al teaches overlapping compositional ranges; in the case where the claimed ranges overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art, a *prima facie* case of obviousness exists. See MPEP § 2144.05. Even though product-by-process claims are limited and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same or as obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process. See MPEP § 2113.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,559

Art Unit: 1793

Clams 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanisawa et al in view of Makino et al (US 2002/0173363 A1).

Kanisawa et al teaches a steel wire rod with the following composition (abstract; column 4 to column 5) with respect to the claims in wt%:

Element	Claims 1, 5, and 9	Kanisawa et al
C	0.45-0.55%	0.1-0.5%
Si	0.21-0.45%	0.01-0.5%
Mn	0.50-1.20%	0.3-1.5%
P	0.025% or less	0.035% or less
S	0.025% or less	0.035% or less
Mo	0.15-0.25%	0.1-1.0%
В	0.0005-0.005%	0.005% or less
Ti	0.005-0.010%	0.005-0.04%
N	0.015% or less	not taught

In the case where the claimed ranges overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art, a *prima facie* case of obviousness exists. See MPEP § 2144.05. The steel rod comprises ferrite, pearlite and bainite (Claim 2, lines 54-57). The diameter reduction of 20% or larger occurs at a minimum temperature of 750 C (column 19, lines 21-38). The material hardness is controlled to 250-700 Hv (column 4, lines 21 and 22). However, Kanisawa et al does not teach high frequency hardening the steel as claimed.

Makino et al teaches a power transmission mechanism. Shafts of high torque are generally formed by subjecting steel to high frequency hardening [0003]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further treat the steel of Kanisawa with high frequency hardening, since Makino et al teaches that this step increases shaft strength in consideration of plastic workability, machinability and cost [0003].

Application/Control Number: 10/762,559 Page 4

Art Unit: 1793

Response to Arguments

The rejection based on Ochi, Eberle et al and Yoshinaga et al are withdrawn based on the amendments to the claims. Applicant argues that Kanisawa et al does not teach the amended limitation of hot rolling the steel as claimed. This argument is addressed above in the rejection summary. Additionally, the features, hardness and surface hardness is not claimed in Claims 1, 5 or 9.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. JP 08-253842 teaches steel for induction hardening shaft parts with 0.015-0.05% Al. JP 08-053714 teaches shaft parts for machine structural use containing 0.015-0.05% Al. JP 02-179841 teaches non-heat treated steel for induction hardening with 0.030-0.1% Al.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/762,559 Page 5

Art Unit: 1793

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to TIMA M. MCGUTHRY-BANKS whose telephone number is

(571)272-2744. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00 am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Roy King/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit

1793

/T. M. M./

Examiner, Art Unit 1793

19 December 2008